The Honorable Robert J. Bryan 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 STATE OF WASHINGTON, CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB Plaintiff, 10 DECLARATION OF ANDREA 11 v. BRENNEKE IN SUPPORT OF STATE OF WASHINGTON'S 12 THE GEO GROUP, INC., RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING Defendant. 13 SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL (ECF NO. 306) 14 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2) I, Andrea Brenneke, state and declare as follows: 15 1. I am over 18 years of age, have personal knowledge of and competent to testify 16 about the matters contained herein 17 I am an Assistant Attorney General for the State of Washington, and make this 18 declaration as a representative of the State of Washington in support of Washington's Response 19 to the Court's Proposed Order. 20 To develop Washington's unjust enrichment claim, Washington diligently 3. 21 sought to obtain evidence of the financial benefit to defendant The GEO Group, Inc., of its use 22 of detainee workers in the operation of the Northwest Detention Center, and its practice of 23 paying detainee workers \$1 per day, instead of paying a fair wage to work-eligible detainees or 24 Tacoma-area residents who also could do the work. 25 26

1

- 4. Despite Washington's diligent and exhaustive efforts, GEO has not yet produced full financial information or documents and much information about the full amount of financial benefit GEO has received from detainee labor at the NWDC is unavailable.
- 5. Throughout the discovery period, Washington expounded written discovery and engaged in deposition practice based upon the information available. Washington also sought the necessary missing information by filing and largely prevailing on a motion to compel, ECF No. 126 (Joint LCR 37 Motion); ECF No. 133 (Order granting in part Washington Motion to Compel), and overcame subsequent efforts by defendant in the trial court to reverse that order. *See* ECF No. 144 (Order denying GEO's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Compelling Discovery of its Confidential Financial Documents); ECF No. 157 (Order Denying Defendant The Geo Group, Inc.'s Motion for Certification of Interlocutory Appeal).
- 6. Defendant sought to avoid production of the financial evidence of its benefit from detainee labor at the NWDC by petitioning for a Writ of Mandamus at the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Washington diligently defended against Defendant's petition and prevailed when the Ninth Circuit denied the Writ of Mandamus on September 3, 2019. ECF No. 296.
- 7. Washington diligently pursued production of the missing infromtion and documents after the appellate proceedings concluded. Attached hereto as **Brenneke Declaration Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of a letter from me to counsel for GEO, requesting that GEO's financial information and documents be produced on or before September 18, 2019.
- 8. GEO did not produce the information despite the Ninth Circuit's Order. Instead, GEO's counsel sent an email requesting to meet and confer after he completed another trial. Washington agreed to a meet and confer conference on the requested date, but GEO's counsel never followed up. A true and correct copy of the email communications between Colin Barnacle, counsel for GEO, and me are attached as **Brenneke Declaration Exhibit B**.

1	9. Defendant GEO still has not produced any of the financial information or
2	documents compelled by the trial court, as affirmed by the Court of Appeals on September 3,
3	2019. Instead, it has pursued yet another round of motions practice to dismiss the case. As a
4	result, Washington is unable to present all relevant evidence that would support its unjust
5	enrichment claim prior to the Court dismissing that claim on summary judgment.
6	
7	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and accurate.
8	Executed this 4th day of October, 2018, in Mount Pleasant, South Carolina.
9	s/ Andrea Brenneke
10	Andrea Brenneke, WSBA No. 22027
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that the foregoing document was electronically filed with the United
3	States District Court using the CM/ECF system. I certify that all participants in the case are
4	registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF
5	system.
6	
7	Dated this 4th day of October 2019 in Seattle, Washington.
8	s/ Caitilin Hall
9	Caitilin Hall Legal Assistant
10	Logar rissistant
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	